

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/764,523 | 01/18/2001 | Kiyoshi Satoh | ASMJP.055AUS | 6412 |
| 20995 | 7590 01/08/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET | | | WINTER, GENTLE E | |
| FOURTEEN' | TH FLOOR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| IRVINE, CA | 92614 | | 1746 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/764,523 | SATOH ET AL. | | | | |
| 1 | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Gentle E. Winter | 1746 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | old abandonment of this applica | ition. A proper reply to a | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the cont | rextension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply of elater than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriate extension | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | better form for appeal by mater | ially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amendment of t | s) a) 🔯 will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided below | ☐ will be entered and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 10. \ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> Zeinal Clarini | | | | | | |
| | | ZEINAB EL-ARINI RIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/764,523

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims are currently presented do not properly reflect what was indicated as allowable. Applicant has omitted the sentence modifying "opening a valve on a piping" namely "after conducting a CVD reaction and prior to supplying activated species".

Continuation of 10. Other: Examiner agrees that the arguments addressing cancelled and non-rejected claims are moot..